Comparison of Maricopa County BMPs with San Joaquin Valley & Imperial County CMPs

June 26, 2006 Draft Control Measure Maricopa County **Imperial County** San Joaquin CMP Control **CMP Control BMP Control** Efficiency **Efficiency** Efficiency **CROPLAND – LAND PREPARATION /** CL **CULTIVATION** CL-1 **Alternate Tilling:** Same as San Joaquin Alternate Tilling 28% **Bed/Row Size or Spacing:** Same as San Joaquin CL-2 Tree fruit 9% Corn Grain and Silage 12% 9% Cotton Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and 14% Barley 18% Grapes **Nut Crops** 14% Onions and Garlic 12% Sugar Beets 4% Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other 4% CL-3 **Chemigation/Fertigation:** No data at this time Same as San Joaquin Alfalfa 2% 9% Citrus Corn Grain and Silage 1% Cotton 9% Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and 7% Barley Grapes 4% **Nut Crops** 31% Onions and Garlic 6% Sugar Beets 3.5% Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other 2%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CL-4	Combined Operations:			
	Combining land preparation operations	43% (Combining Tractor Operations)	Same as San Joaquin	35%
CL-5	Conservation Irrigation:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa		1	6%
	Citrus and Tree Fruit			9%
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			14%
	Grapes			18%
	Nut Crops			14%
	Onions and Garlic			12%
	Sugar Beets			4%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			4%
CL-6	Conservation Tillage:	25% - 100% (Reduced Tillage)		
	Tree fruit			9%
	Corn Grain and Silage			35%
	Cotton			28%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			19%
	Grapes			26%
	Nut Crops			3%
	Onions and Garlic			11%
	Sugar Beets			3%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			25%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CL-7	Cover Crops:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Tree Fruit			27%
	Grapes			26%
	Nut Crops			36%
CL-8	Equipment Changes/Technological Improvements:	50%	Same as San Joaquin	50%
CL-9	Fallowing Land:		No data at this time	
CL-10	Floor Management:			50%
CL-11	Integrated Pest Management:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%
	Cotton			19%
	Nut Crops			25%
CL-12	Limited Activity During a High-Wind Event:	25%		
CL-13	Mulching:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			7%
	Grapes			21%
	Onions and Garlic			18%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			13%
CL-14	Multi-Year Crop:	66%		
CL-15	Night Farming:		Same as San Joaquin	10%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CL-16	Non-Tillage/Chemical Tillage:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			8%
	Citrus and Tree Fruit			30%
	Grapes			17%
	Nut Crops			25%
CL-17	Organic Practices:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			9%
	Citrus/Tree fruit			14%
	Corn Grain and Silage			17%
	Cotton			18%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			16%
	Grapes			38%
	Nut Crops			4%
	Onions and Garlic			18%
	Sugar Beets			5%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			18%
CL-18	Planting Based on Soil Moisture:	30%		
CL-19	Precision Farming:		Same as San Joaquin	15%
CL-20	Tillage Based on Soil Moisture:	90%		
CL-21	Time of Planting:	50 % - 60% (Timing of Tillage Operation)		No data at this time
CL-22	Timing of Tillage Operation:	55%		

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CL-23	Transgenic Crops:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			2%
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			4%
	Onions and Garlic			12%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			6%
CL-24	Transplanting:			
	Onions and Garlic			12%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			6%
СН	CROPLAND – HARVEST			
CH-1	Baling/Large Balers:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			11%
	Grains			26%
CH-2	Combined Operations:			
	Combining harvest activities	29% - 71% (Reduced Harvest Activity)	Same as San Joaquin	43%
CH-3	Continuous Tray/Dried-On-Vine:			
	Harvest Activities for Grapes – Raisin Drying			32%
CH-4	Equipment Changes/Technological Improvements:	50%	Same as San Joaquin	50%
CH-5	Fallowing Land:		No data at this time	

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CH-6	Floor Management:			25%
011.7	One on Oh one		Como ao Com Jacquia	
CH-7	Green Chop:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			10%
	Corn Grain and Silage			16.5%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			19.87%
CH-8	Hand Harvesting:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Grapes			30%
	Onions / garlic			17%
	Citrus, sugar beet, tree fruit, vegetables / tomatoes / melons			33%
CH-9	Night Harvesting:		Same as San Joaquin	10%
011.40	N. B			
CH-10	No Burning (Grinding/Chipping/Shredding, No Burning, and Soil Incorporation):			
	Citrus, Cotton, Nut Crops, and Tree Fruit			90.45%
	Alfalfa and Corn (under soil incorporation)			96.54%
	Eliminating burning of raisin trays			100%
	Eliminating burning of alfalfa seeds			92.9%
CH-11	Pre-Harvest Soil Preparation:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			7%
	Citrus/Tree Fruit			10%
	Corn Grain and Silage			5%
	Cotton			12%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			5%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
	Grapes			10%
	Nut Crops			6%
	Onions and Garlic			10%
	Sugar Beets			10%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			2%
CH-12	Reduced Harvest Activity:	50%		
CH-13	Shed Packing:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Onions and Garlic			13%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			11%
CH-14	Shuttle System / Larger Carrier:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Alfalfa			17%
	Citrus and Tree Fruit			17%
	Corn Grain and Silage			17%
	Cotton			40%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			20%
	Grapes			16%
	Nut Crops			10%
	Onions and Garlic			17%
	Sugar Beets			17%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			17%
СО	CROPLAND - OTHER			
CO-1	Alternate Tilling:			32.5%
CO-2	Application Efficiencies:			
	Alfalfa			8%
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			14%
	Nut Crops			14%
	Onions and Garlic			12%
	Sugar Beets			4%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			4%
CO-3	Baling/Large Balers			
	Alfalfa			11%
	Grains			26%
CO-4	Bulk Material Control:			No data at this time
CO-5	Chemigation/Fertigation:			
	Alfalfa			2%
	Citrus			9%
	Corn Grain and Silage			1%
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			7%
	Grapes			4%
	Nut Crops			31%
	Onions and Garlic			6%
	Sugar Beets			3.5%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			2%
CO-6	Conservation Irrigation:			
	Alfalfa			6%
	Citrus and Tree Fruit			9%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			14%
	Grapes			18%
	Nut Crops			14%
	Onions and Garlic			12%
	Sugar Beets			4%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			4%
CO-7	Cover Crops:	20% - 66%		
	Tree Fruit			27%
	Grapes			26%
	Nut Crops			36%
CO-8	Cross-Wind Ridges:	20% - 93%		
CO-9	Cross-Wind Strip Cropping:	No data at this time		
CO-10	Cross-Wind Vegetative Strips:	No data at this time		
CO-11	Grinding/Chipping/Shredding:			
	Citrus, Cotton, Nut Crops, and Tree Fruit			90.45%
	Alfalfa and Corn (under soil incorporation)			96.54%
	Eliminating burning of raisin trays			100%
	Eliminating burning of alfalfa seeds			92.9%
CO-12	Integrated Pest Management:			
	Alfalfa			8%
	Citrus and Tree Fruit			9%
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			14%
	Grapes			18%
	Nut Crops			14%
	Onions and Garlic			12%
	Sugar Beets			4%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			4%
CO-13	Irrigation Power Units:			No data at this time
CO-14	Manure Application:	No data at this time		
CO-15	Mulching:	50% - 55%		32.5%
CO-16	Multi-Year Crop (Alfalfa):	100%		
CO-17	Night Farming / Night Harvesting:			5%
CO-18	No Burning			
	Eliminating burning of raisin trays			100%
	Eliminating burning of alfalfa seeds			92.9%
CO-19				
	Alfalfa			8%
	Citrus and Tree Fruit			30%
	Grapes			
	Nut Crops			25%
CO-20				
	Alfalfa			9%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
	Citrus/Tree fruit			14%
	Corn Grain and Silage			17%
	Cotton			18%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			16%
	Grapes			38%
	Nut Crops			4%
	Onions and Garlic			18%
	Sugar Beets			5%
	Vegetables, Tomatoes, Melons, and Other			18%
CO-21	Permanent Cover:	25% - 75%		No data at this time
CO-22	Reduced Pruning:			
	Citrus			9%
	Nut Crops			6%
CO-23	Residue Management:	39% - 92%		
CO-24	Sequential Cropping:	50%		
CO-25	Soil Amendments:			20%
CO-26	Soil Incorporation:			
	Citrus, Cotton, Nut Crops, and Tree Fruit			90.45%
	Alfalfa and Corn (under soil incorporation)			96.54%
CO-27	Sulfur: Reduction or Elimination of Dusting:			No data at this time
CO-28	Surface Roughening:	15% - 75%		64%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CO-29	Transgenic Crops:			
	Alfalfa			8%
	Corn Grain and Silage			12%
	Cotton			9%
	Dry Beans, Cereal Grains, Safflower, Wheat, and Barley			14%
CO-30	Wind Barrier:	0% - 90% (Artificial Wind Barrier)		30%
		25% (Tree, Shrub, or Windbreak Planting)		
CU-1	CROPLAND – UNPAVED ROADS			
<u> </u>	Dust Suppressants:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Chips/mulch		Came as Cam Goaquin	33%
	Organic materials/vegetation			33%
		47% - 99% (Synthetic Particulate		
	Polymers	Suppressant)		80%
	Road oil*			76%
	Sand Gravel	Variable – depends on silt content (Aggregate Cover)	Same as San Joaquin	33% 46%
		(. 1991 - 9410 - 00101)		.070
CU-2	Mechanical Pruning:			55%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CU-3	Paving:		Same as San Joaquin	98%
CU-4	Restricted Access:	2% (Access Restriction)	Same as San Joaquin	10%
CU-5	Speed Limits: Reducing speed from 25. 9 mph to 5 mph	42%	Same as San Joaquin	 81%
	Reducing speed from 25.9 mph to 10 mph Reducing speed from 25.9 mph to 15 mph Reducing speed from 25.9 to 25 mph			58% 42% 3%
CU-6	Track Out Control:	85% - 95%	Same as San Joaquin	No data at this time
CU-7	Water Application:	50% - 93%	Same as San Joaquin	70%
CU-8	Wind Barrier:	0% - 50% (Artificial Wind Barrier) 25% (Tree, Shrub, or	Same as San Joaquin	30%
		Windbreak Planting)		
CA-1	CROPLAND – UNPAVED VEHICLE / EQUIPMENT TRAFFIC AREAS:			
CA-2	Critical Area Planting:	No data at this time		
CA-3	Dust Suppressants:		Same as San Joaquin	
	Chips/mulch			33%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
	Organic materials/vegetation			33%
		47% - 99% (Synthetic Particulate		
	Polymers	Suppressant)		80%
	Road oil*			76%
	Sand			33%
	Gravel		Same as San Joaquin	46%
CA-4	Manure Application:	No data at this time		
CA-5	Paving:		Same as San Joaquin	98%
CA-6	Restricted Access:	2% (Access Restriction)	Same as San Joaquin	10%
CA-7	Speed Limits:	42%	Same as San Joaquin	
	Reducing speed from 25. 9 mph to 5 mph			81%
	Reducing speed from 25.9 mph to 10 mph			58%
	Reducing speed from 25.9 mph to 15 mph			42%
	Reducing speed from 25.9 to 25 mph			3%
CA-8	Track Out Control:	85% - 95%	Same as San Joaquin	No data at this time
CA-9	Water Application:	50% - 93%	Same as San Joaquin	70%

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
CA-10	Wind Barrier:	0% - 50% (Artificial Wind Barrier)	Same as San Joaquin	30%
		25% (Trees, Shrubs, or Wind Break Planting)		
P	POULTRY OPERATIONS			
P-1	Manure Handling and Storage:			10%
P-2	Feeding:			No data at this time
P-3	Open Areas and Unpaved Roads:			
	Chips/mulch			33%
	Gravel			46%
	Less than 10 VT			87% (unpaved road) 60% (unpaved .area)
	Organic materials/vegetation			33%
	Paving			98%
		47% - 99% (Synthetic Particulate		
	Polymers	Suppressant)		80%
	Restricted access			10%
	Road oil			76%
	Sand			33%
	Speed limit			58% (10 mph) 42% (15 mph)

	Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
				3% (25 mph)
	Water	50% - 93%		70%
	Wind barrier	0% - 50% (Artificial Wind Barrier)		30%
С	DAIRY CATTLE AND FEEDLOT CATTLE OPERATIONS			
C-1	Corral/Manure Handling			10%
C-2	Overall Management/Feeding			10%
C-3	Unpaved Roads and Open Areas:			
	 Appropriate Equipment / Vehicles 			20%
	Chips/mulch			33%
	Gravel			46%
	Less than 10 VT			87% (unpaved road) 60% (unpaved area)
	Organic materials/vegetation			33%
	Paving			98%
		47% - 99% (Synthetic Particulate		
	Polymers	Suppressant)		80%
	Restricted access			10%
	Road oil			76%
	Sand			33%
	Speed bumps			81%
	•			
	•			

Control Measure	Maricopa County BMP Control Efficiency	Imperial County CMP Control Efficiency	San Joaquin CMP Control Efficiency
Speed limit			58% (10 mph) 42% (15 mph) 3% (25 mph)
Water	50% - 93% 0% - 50% (Artificial Wind		70%
Wind barrier	Barrier)		30%

Sources of Data:

- 1) "Conservation Management Practices Program Report for 2005", San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, January 19, 2005.
- 2) "Draft Final Technical Memorandum Regulation VIII BACM Analysis", Prepared for Imperial County Air Pollution Control District by ENVIRON International Corporation, October 2005.
- 3) "Guide to Agricultural PM10 Best Management Practices, Maricopa County, Arizona PM10 Nonattainment Area", Governor's Agricultural BMP Committee, February 2001.
- 4) "Technical Support Document for Quantification of Agricultural Best Management Practices, Revised Final Draft", Prepared for Arizona Department of Environmental Quality by URS Corporation and Eastern Research Group, April 2001.